

The Gazette



of India

EXTRAORDINARY

PART II—Section 3

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 51] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 1955

ELECTION COMMISSION, INDIA

NOTIFICATION

New Delhi, the 9th February 1955

S.R.O. 382.—Whereas the election of Shri Ram Niwas, as a member of the Legislative Assembly of the State of Rajasthan, from the Nagaur East constituency of that Assembly, has been called in question by an Election Petition presented under Part VI of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (XLIII of 1951), by Shri Ganga Singh, son of Shri Roop Singh, Advocate, Nagaur (Rajasthan);

And whereas, the Election Tribunal appointed by the Election Commission, in pursuance of the provisions of Section 86 of the said Act, for the trial of the said Election Petition has, in pursuance of the provisions contained in section 103 of the said Act, sent a copy of its Order to the Commission;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of Section 106 of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby publishes the said Order of the Tribunal.

IN THE ELECTION TRIBUNAL, JAIPUR

ELECTION PETITION No. 3 OF 1954

Petitioner.—Ganga Singh S/o Roop Singh, Advocate, Nagaur, (Rajasthan).

Respondents.—

1. Mr. Ram Niwas S/o Baldeoram, village Kuchera, Teh. Nagaur.
2. Mr. Kashim Bhai S/o Piranbhai, Bhanu Bhai Building, Jodhpur.
3. Mr. Allanoor S/o Kakir Mohd. Gasmandi, Jodhpur.
4. Mr. Mohammad Bux S/o Samsuddin, Nakas Mohalla, Nagaur.
5. Mr. Manakchand S/o Jainarain, Sadar Bazar, Kuchaman City.
6. Mr. Likhama Ram S/o Sukh Ram, Advocate, Nagaur.
7. Mr. Shivdayal S/o Shiv Bux, Natwara, Nagaur.

PRESENT—

Shri Anand Narain Kaul—*Chairman.*

Shri Sumair Nath Gurtu—*Member.*

Shri Radha Krishna Rustogi—*Member.*

ORDER

Present Shri Gulab Chand Kasliwal on behalf of respondent No. 1. Nobody is present on behalf of the petitioner, nor did anybody appear on his behalf on the

17th, 18th and 19th November, or on the 8th and 9th December, 1954, which were the dates fixed for his evidence, after the framing of issues. Not even the list of witnesses was filed by the petitioner as was directed in this Tribunal's Order dated the 8th October, 1954, passed in his presence. There is, therefore, clear default on his part. There being no evidence on record, we have no alternative but to dismiss the petition in default of the petitioner's appearance. We accordingly dismiss the petition in default. No orders as to costs.

The 10th December, 1954.

(Sd.) A. N. Kaul, *Chairman,*
Election Tribunal, Jaipur.

(Sd.) R. K. Rustogi, (Sd.) S. N. Gurtu,
Member. *Member.*

[No. 82/3/54/2079.]

By Order,

K. S. RAJAGOPALAN, Asstt. Secy.

MINISTRY OF FINANCE (REVENUE DIVISION)

NOTIFICATION

CUSTOMS

New Delhi, the 12th February 1955

S.R.O. 383.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 23 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (VIII of 1878), the Central Government hereby directs that the following amendment shall be made in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Revenue Division) No.160-Customs, dated the 27th November, 1954, namely:—

In the said notification, for the words, figures and abbreviations "in excess of the duty of Rs. 5-8-0 per cwt.", the words, figures and abbreviations "in excess of the duty of Rs. 4-12-0 per cwt." shall be substituted.

[No. 29.]

JASJIT SINGH, Dy. Secy.